

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CHARLES G. MORGAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMUEL W. BODMAN, Secretary,
 Department of Energy,

Defendant.

2:05-cv-01256-BES-GWF

ORDER

Before the Court is a Motion for More Definite Statement (#11) filed by Defendant on May 17, 2006. Thereafter, all proceedings in this case were stayed until December 1, 2006. See Docs. #13, #14. On December 1, 2006 Plaintiff filed a Response to the Motion (#15), in which he requests that the motion be granted and he have thirty days in which to file an amended complaint.

Under FRCP 15(a), a court should "freely give[]" parties leave to amend their pleadings "when justice so requires." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a). A district court determines the propriety of a motion to amend by considering the following factors: bad faith, undue delay, prejudice to the opposing party, and/or futility. Griggs v. Pace American Group, Inc., 170 F.3d 877, 880 (9th Cir. 1999) (citing DCD Programs, Ltd. v. Leighton, 833 F.2d 183, 186 (9th Cir.1987)). Generally, this determination should be performed with all inferences in favor of granting the motion. Id.

1 In his Response, Plaintiff indicates that Defendant did not oppose Plaintiff's request to
2 file an amended complaint. Moreover, the docket indicates that Plaintiff already filed his
3 Amended Complaint (#16) on January 3, 2007.

4
5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for More Definite
6 Statement (#11) is MOOT.

7 DATED: This 9TH day of April, 2007.

8
9 

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28